

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

MILTON CIPLET, Individually and on Behalf
of Himself and All Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

JPMORGAN CHASE & CO. and J.P.
MORGAN SECURITIES INC,

Defendants.

MARK SCHARFF, PINE SASH DOOR &
LUMBER CO., INC., MARK SCHARFF &
ASSOCIATES LLC, 2170 MILL AVENUE
LLC, 6202-6217 REALTY LLC, MARK
SCHARFF ITF MICHAEL SCHARFF,
MARK SCHARFF ITF DANIEL SCHARFF
And MARK SCHARFF ITF ARIEL
SCHARFF, Individually and On Behalf of All
Others Similarly Situated,

Plaintiffs,

vs.

JPMORGAN CHASE BANK & CO., J.P.
MORGAN SECURITIES, INC. and CHASE
INVESTMENT SERVICES CORP.,

Defendants.

Civil Action No. 08-CV-4580 (RMB)

CLASS ACTION

Civil Action No. 08-CV-5026 (RMB)

CLASS ACTION

**DECLARATION OF CURTIS V. TRINKO IN SUPPORT OF THE MOTION
OF MILTON CIPLET, MARK SCHARFF, PINE SASH DOOR & LUMBER
CO., INC., MARK SCHARFF & ASSOCIATES LLC, 2170 MILL AVENUE LLC,
6202-6217 REALTY LLC, MARK SCHARFF ITF MICHAEL SCHARFF,
MARK SCHARFF ITF DANIEL SCHARFF AND MARK SCHARFF
ITF ARIEL SCHARFF FOR CONSOLIDATION OF ACTIONS, APPOINTMENT OF
LEAD PLAINTIFF, AND APPROVAL OF SELECTION OF LEAD COUNSEL**

CURTIS V. TRINKO, ESQ. an attorney duly admitted to practice before this Court hereby declares pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746, that the following is true and correct.

1. I am the principal attorney of the Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP, counsel for Plaintiff Milton Ciplet and submit this Declaration in support of the Motion of Milton Ciplet, Mark Scharff, Pine Sash Door & Lumber Co., Inc., Mark Scharff & Associates LLC, 2170 Mill Avenue LLC, 6202-6217 Realty LLC, Mark Scharff ITF Michael Scharff ITF Daniel Scharff and Mark Scharff ITF Ariel Scharff, collectively known as the “ARS Investors Group”, for Consolidation of Actions, Appointment of Lead Plaintiff and Approval of Selection of Lead Counsel.

2. Pursuant to Section 78u-4(a)(3)(A)(i) of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act (“PSLRA”), on June 5, 2008, the Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP caused to be published a notice over a national business-oriented wire service, BusinessWire, advising members of the proposed class that a securities class action was filed and that investors had the right to move for appointment as lead plaintiff.¹ Attached hereto as Exhibit A are true and accurate copies of the notices.

3. Ciplet and the Scharff Plaintiffs, collectively the ARS Investor Group, completed certifications in accordance with Section 78u-4(a)(3)(B)(iii)(I)(aa) of the PSLRA. Attached hereto as Exhibit B and C are true and accurate copies of their certifications.

¹ Initial notice was published on June 5, 2008. On June 6, 2008 the Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP published a corrected notice, correcting the date of the class period from February 1, 2008 to February 13, 2008. On June 25, 2008, a second corrected notice was published correcting the date in which the last day to file a motion for appointment of lead plaintiff from July 15, 2008 to the correct date of August 4, 2008.

4. A true and accurate copy of the Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP's firm resume is attached hereto as Exhibit D.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on the 21st day of August, 2008:

/s/ Curtis V. Trinko
Curtis V. Trinko

EXHIBIT A

Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP Files Class Action Lawsuit against JP Morgan...

Thu Jun 5, 2008 10:38am EDT

Email | Print | Share | Reprints | Single Page | Recommend (0)

(-) Text (+)

Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP Files Class Action Lawsuit against JP Morgan Chase & Co.

NEW YORK--(Business Wire)--
The Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP has commenced a class action lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York seeking to recover damages on behalf of all persons who purchased or acquired Auction Rate Securities from JP Morgan Chase & Co., and J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc. ("Defendants") between May 16, 2003 and February 1, 2008, inclusive (the "Class Period").

The complaint alleges that Defendants violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 by deceptively offering for sale Auction Rate Securities, and represented to investors that these securities were the equivalent of cash or money-market substitutes, and/or other short term investments that were highly liquid and could be purchased with a minimum investment of \$25,000. Defendants were deceptive in that they failed to disclose to consumers that auction rate securities are long-term financial instruments with maturities of 30 years to perpetuity, and that such securities were only liquid due to Defendants and other broker-dealers creating an artificial market for auction rate securities by manipulating the auction process. On or about February 13, 2008, Defendants and other major broker-dealers withdrew their support of these securities, causing holders of auction rate securities offered by the defendants as being highly-liquid investments to be left with no means of liquidating their holdings.

If you purchased or acquired auction rate securities from JP Morgan Chase & Co., or J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc. during the Class Period, and continue to hold such securities as of February 1, 2008, you may, no later than July 15, 2008, request that the Court appoint you as lead plaintiff.

A lead plaintiff is a representative that acts on behalf of other class members throughout the litigation. To be appointed lead plaintiff, the Court must determine that the class member's claim is typical of the claims of all class members, and that said class member will adequately represent the class. Under certain circumstances, multiple class members may serve as "lead plaintiffs." Your ability to share in any recovery is not affected by the decision whether or not to serve as lead plaintiff.

If you have any questions concerning this Notice, or your rights as a potential class member or lead plaintiff, please contact:

-0-

*T

Curtis V. Trinko, Esq.
Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP
16 West 46th Street, 7th Floor
New York, New York 10036
Tel: (212) 490-9550
Fax: (212) 986-0158
ctrinko@trinko.com
*T

Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP
Curtis V. Trinko, Esq., 212-490-9550
Fax: 212-986-0158
ctrinko@trinko.com

Copyright Business Wire 2008

© Thomson Reuters 2008 All rights reserved

SHARE: [Del.icio.us](#) [Digg](#) [Mixx](#) [My Web](#) [Facebook](#) [Newsvine](#)

GLOBAL MARKETS NEWS

RPT-UPDATE 1-White House unhappy with 'mixed' US jobless report
Ford U.S. July auto sales fall 14.9 percent
UPDATE 2-JP Morgan downgrades Monster Worldwide; shares fall
FTSE falls on US data, miners; British Energy dips
More Global Markets News...

PRESS RELEASE NEWS

Coddle Creek Financial Corp. Announces Earnings Per Share for the Quarter Ending...
Prashant Shanker Pathak Appointed to the Board of Directors of the Business Development Bank of Canada
REG-Saphir Finance Full Early Repurchase
More Press Release News...

EDITOR'S CHOICE Pictures Video Articles



A selection of our best photos from the past 24 hours.
[View Slideshow](#)

Finally, a bundle designed for the way you connect.

Fios®
Internet
+
Verizon
Wireless




verizon

Get Connected

MOST POPULAR ON REUTERS

Articles Video Searched Recommended

1. McCain camp accuses Obama of playing race card | Video 
2. Batman may bow down to "Mummy"
3. World's oldest joke traced back to 1900 BC
4. MIT develops way to bank solar energy at home
5. World's oldest joke traced back to 1900 BC | Video
6. L.A. officials at odds over reining in paparazzi
7. New York man arrested for YouTube baby food threat
8. U.S. Bosnia negotiator dismisses Karadzic deal claim
9. Ancient Greeks used "computer" to set Olympics date
10. U.S. fires captain of Japan-bound nuclear warship

Most Popular Articles RSS Feed

Are you going to the conventions?

Reuters is looking for participants in a new mobile journalism project to capture the Republican and Democratic conventions from the ground up.



[Learn More](#)

H

FOX TRANSLATOR ▼

Friday, June 06, 2008

CORRECTING and REPLACING Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP Files Class Action Lawsuit against JP Morgan Chase & Co.

Recommend

Comtex

Email this Story | Respond to Editor | Print

Digg It StumbleUpon Newsvine Reddit

NEW YORK, Jun 06, 2008 (BUSINESS WIRE) ----First graph of release dated June 5, 2008 should read: xxx between May 16, 2003 and February 13, 2008... (sted xxx between May 16, 2003 and February 1, 2008...). Third graph of release should read: xxx and continue to hold such securities as of February 13, 2008... (sted xxx and continue to hold such securities as of February 1, 2008...).

The corrected release reads:

LAW OFFICES OF CURTIS V. TRINKO, LLP FILES CLASS ACTION LAWSUIT AGAINST JP MORGAN CHASE & CO.

The Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP has commenced a class action lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York seeking to recover damages on behalf of all persons who purchased or acquired Auction Rate Securities from JP Morgan Chase & Co., and J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc. ("Defendants") between May 16, 2003 and February 13, 2008, inclusive (the "Class Period").

The complaint alleges that Defendants violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 by deceptively offering for sale Auction Rate Securities, and represented to investors that these securities were the equivalent of cash or money-market substitutes, and/or other short term investments that were highly liquid and could be purchased with a minimum investment of \$25,000. Defendants were deceptive in that they failed to disclose to consumers that auction rate securities are long-term financial instruments with maturities of 30 years to perpetuity, and that such securities were only liquid due to Defendants and other broker-dealers creating an artificial market for auction rate securities by manipulating the auction process. On or about February 13, 2008, Defendants and other major broker-dealers withdrew their support of these securities, causing holders of auction rate securities offered by the defendants as being highly-liquid investments to be left with no means of liquidating their holdings.

If you purchased or acquired auction rate securities from JP Morgan Chase & Co., or J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc. during the Class Period, and continue to hold such securities as of February 13, 2008, you may, no later than July 15, 2008, request that the Court appoint you as lead plaintiff.

A lead plaintiff is a representative that acts on behalf of other class members throughout the litigation. To be appointed lead plaintiff, the Court must determine that the class member's claim is typical of the claims of all class members, and that said class member will adequately represent the class. Under certain circumstances, multiple class members may serve as "lead plaintiffs." Your ability to share in any recovery is not affected by the decision whether or not to serve as lead plaintiff.

If you have any questions concerning this Notice, or your rights as a potential class member or lead plaintiff, please contact:

Curtis V. Trinko, Esq. Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP 16 West 46th St.
Tel: (212) 490-9550 Fax: (212) 986-0158 ctrinko@trinko.com
SOURCE: Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP

Law

Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP Curtis V. Trinko, Esq., 212-490-9550 Fax
Copyright

Business Wire 2008 *****
the latest Comtex SmarTrend? Alert, an automated pattern recognition syst
\$44.81. For more information on SmarTrend, contact your market data provi
trademark of Comtex News Network, Inc. Copyright ? 2004-2008 Comtex News I

ADVERTISEMENTS

Penny Stock Report (VIPR)

Receives \$1.50 Analyst Price Target Gold Resource Value at \$500,000,000
www.WallStreetStockReview.com

Top Solar Stock Pick - SSLR

Solar Company Heating up Texas. Investment Stocks Trading News
www.SunriseSolarCorp.com

Project Mgmt Certification

Villanova Project Management Certification 8 Weeks - Free Info.
www.VillanovaU.com/PM

What's your credit score?

The U.S. Average is 692. See your 2008 report and score now for \$0!
FreeCreditReport.com

PEOPLE ALSO READ...

ExxonMobil Second Quarter Profit Soars 14%;
Misses Street Expectations
Dow Soars 186 in the Face of Oil Rebound
Delta Retooling SkyMiles Reward Structure
Dow Soars 186 in the Face of Oil Rebound
Gloomy End to July: Dow Sinks 205

ADVERTISEMENTS

Penny Stock Report (VIPR)

Receives \$1.50 Analyst Price Target Gold
Resource Value at \$500,000,000

Top Solar Stock Pick - SSLR

Solar Company Heating up Texas. Investment
Stocks Trading News

BUY A LINK HERE

BUY A LINK HERE

POWERED BY
BNPT.com

[FindArticles](#) > [Business Wire](#) > [June 25, 2008](#) > [Article](#) > [Print friendly](#)

Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP Files Class Action Lawsuit against JP Morgan Chase & Co

NEW YORK -- Third graph, first sentence of release dated June 06, 2008 should read: "... and continued to hold such securities as of February 13, 2008, you may, no later than August 4, 2008, request that the Court appoint you as lead plaintiff." (sted "... and continue to hold such securities as of February 13, 2008, you may, no later than July 15, 2008, request that the Court appoint you as lead plaintiff.").

The corrected release reads:

LAW OFFICES OF CURTIS V. TRINKO, LLP FILES CLASS ACTION LAWSUIT AGAINST JP MORGAN CHASE & CO.

The Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP has commenced a class action lawsuit in the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York seeking to recover damages on behalf of all persons who purchased or acquired Auction Rate Securities from JP Morgan Chase & Co., and J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc. ("Defendants") between May 16, 2003 and February 13, 2008, inclusive (the "Class Period").

The complaint alleges that Defendants violated Sections 10(b) and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 by deceptively offering for sale Action Rate Securities, and represented to investors that these securities were the equivalent of cash or money-market substitutes, and/or other short term investments that were highly liquid and could be purchased with a minimum investment of \$25,000. Defendants were deceptive in that they failed to disclose to consumers that auction rate securities are long-term financial instruments with maturities of 30 years to perpetuity, and that such securities were only liquid due to Defendants and other broker-dealers creating an artificial market for auction rate securities by manipulating the auction process. On or about February 13, 2008, Defendants and other major broker-dealers withdrew their support of these securities, causing holders of auction rate securities offered by the defendants as being highly-liquid investments to be left with no means of liquidating their holdings.

If you purchased or acquired auction rate securities from JP Morgan Chase & Co., or J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc. during the Class Period, and continue to hold such securities as of February 13, 2008, you may, no later than August 4, 2008, request that the Court appoint you as lead plaintiff.

A lead plaintiff is a representative that acts on behalf of other class members throughout the litigation. To be appointed lead plaintiff, the Court must determine that the class member's claim is typical of the claims of all class members, and that said class member will adequately represent the class. Under certain circumstances, multiple class members may serve as "lead plaintiffs." Your ability to share in any recovery is not affected by the decision whether or not to serve as lead plaintiff.

If you have any questions concerning this Notice, or your rights as a potential class member or lead plaintiff, please contact:

Curtis V. Trinko, Esq.
Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP
16 West 46th Street, 7th Floor
New York, New York 10036
Tel: (212) 490-9550
Fax: (212) 986-0158

ctrinko@trinko.com

COPYRIGHT 2008 Business Wire
COPYRIGHT 2008 Gale, Cengage Learning

EXHIBIT B

**CERTIFICATION OF NAMED PLAINTIFF
PURSUANT TO FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS**

I, MILTON CIPLET, hereby certify as follows:

1. I have reviewed the complaint prepared for me concerning JP Morgan Chase & Co. and J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc. to be brought under the federal securities laws, and have authorized the filing of such an action on my behalf.

2. Plaintiff did not purchase, or otherwise acquire, the securities from JP Morgan Chase & Co., that are the subject of this action, at the direction of plaintiff's counsel, or in order to participate in any private action arising under the federal securities laws.

3. I am willing to serve as a representative party on behalf of the class, and will provide testimony at a deposition and/or at trial, if necessary.

4. Plaintiff's transactions in auction rate securities that are the subject of this litigation during the class period set forth in the complaint are, as follows:

a). Plaintiff purchased \$1,000,000 worth of shares of Triborough Bridge & Tunnel Authority NY REVS VAR REF REV BDS on May 30, 2006; and

b). Plaintiff still holds the shares.

5. During the three years prior to the date hereof, plaintiff has not filed an action in which he has sought to serve, or has served, as a representative party for a class in any action filed under the federal securities laws.

6. Plaintiff will not accept any payment for serving as a representative party on behalf of the class beyond his pro rata share of any recovery, or as ordered or approved by the Court,



including the award to a representative of reasonable costs and expenses (including lost wages) directly relating to the representation of the class.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Executed this 15th day of May, 2008 at New York, New York.



MILTON CIFLET

EXHIBIT C

**CERTIFICATION OF NAMED PLAINTIFF
PURSUANT TO FEDERAL SECURITIES LAWS**

I, MARK SCHARFF, hereby certify as follows:

1. I am one of the plaintiffs. I am also the President of plaintiff, Pine Sash Door & Lumber Co., Inc. I am the Managing Member of plaintiffs, Mark Scharff & Associates LLC, 2170 Mill Avenue LLC and 6202-6217 Realty LLC. I am also the trustee for the remaining plaintiffs' family trusts.
2. I have reviewed the complaint prepared for plaintiffs concerning JP Morgan Chase & Co., J.P. Morgan Securities, Inc. and Chase Investment Services Corp. to be brought under the federal securities laws, and have authorized the filing of such an action on my behalf.
3. Plaintiffs did not purchase, or otherwise acquire, the securities from defendants, that are the subject of this action, at the direction of plaintiffs' counsel, or in order to participate in any private action arising under the federal securities laws.
4. I am willing to serve as a representative party on behalf of the class, and will provide testimony at a deposition and/or at trial, if necessary. I intend, in any event, to fully participate in this action due to the fact that I have invested my the majority my life savings and also my children's college trust funds, companies' working capital and other funds all for the purchase of the auction rate securities. Defendants never told me that they were purchasing this type of security. Defendants made the investment decision. All of the investments needed to be in safe liquid funds as represented by defendants'.
5. I support the appointment of the law office of Marc E. Scollar, Esq. as co-lead counsel with the Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP. As to the attorney, Marc E. Scollar, Esq., I have known Mr. Scollar well over twenty (20) years. I understand that, once he was admitted to the New York State Bar Association, I became, with my companies, one of his first clients. I am comfortable with and highly satisfied with his expertise and the level of representation that I have received over the course of the years. The companies and I have

retained Mr. Scollar on at least twenty (20) separate lawsuits on a variety of different issues and I am pleased with the results.

6. Plaintiffs' transactions in auction rate securities, that are the subject of this litigation during the class period set forth in the complaint, are as follows:

- a) Plaintiffs purchased approximately \$1,400,000.00 worth of shares of various securities purchased at various intervals (see Exhibit ____); and
- b) Plaintiffs still hold the shares.

7. During the three years prior to the date hereof, plaintiff Mark Scharff and the remaining plaintiffs have not filed an action in which they have sought to serve, or have served, as a representative party for a class in any action filed under the federal securities laws.

8. Plaintiff Mark Scharff will not accept any payment for serving as a representative party on behalf of the class beyond his pro rata share of any recovery, or as ordered or approved by the Court, including the award to a representative of reasonable costs and expenses (including lost wages) directly relating to the representation of the class.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. Executed this 4th day of August 2008 at Staten Island, New York.



MARK SCHARFF

EXHIBIT A

ACCOUNTS OPENED BY MARK SCHARFF

| <u>Account Name</u> | <u>Date Purchased</u> | <u>Amount</u> | <u>Due Date</u> | <u>Type</u> |
|--------------------------------|---------------------------|---------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|
| Mark Scharff & Associates, LLC | 9/24/07 | \$550,000 | 2038 | Educational FDG South Tenn. |
| Mark Scharff & Associates, LLC | 10/11/07 | \$400,000 | 2039 | Nelnet Student Ln. |
| Pine Sash Door & Lumber Co. | 11/02/07 | \$ 50,000 | 2032 | Nelnet Student Ln. |
| 6202-6217 Realty LLC | 9/24/07 | \$ 50,000 | 2038 | Educational FDG South Tenn. |
| 2170 Mill Ave. LLC | 10/19/07 | \$200,000 | 2038 | Nelnet Student Ln. |
| Mark Scharff ITF (son) | 10/17/07 | \$ 50,000 | 2042 | Student Loan Calif. |
| Mark Scharff ITF (daughter) | 10/17/07 | \$ 50,000 | 2042 | Student Loan Calif. |
| Mark Scharff ITF (son) | 10/17/07 | \$ 50,000 | 2042 | Student Loan Calif. |

EXHIBIT D

THE LAW OFFICES OF CURTIS V. TRINKO, LLP

The LAW OFFICES OF CURTIS V. TRINKO, LLP is an AV-rated law firm which specializes in complex commercial litigation, and particularly, litigation involving violations of federal and state securities and corporate laws, as well as claims involving officers' and directors' liability, corporate governance practices and procedures, and claims of excessive executive and advisory compensation. The firm has directly participated in the recovery of substantial settlements on behalf of defrauded shareholders injured by illegal corporate activities, or shareholders denied the appropriate valuation for their equity ownership in the wake of successful and/or attempted corporate buy-outs, takeovers and other transactions involving corporate restructurings, asset sales and/or mergers and acquisitions. Moreover, the firm's efforts have assisted in restoring many millions of dollars to corporate treasuries depleted by the illegal practices and/or breaches of fiduciary duties by their corporate officers and directors. The firm has also represented both individual and corporate defendants in numerous securities class actions, investor claim-related litigation, shareholder derivative litigation, and other commercial litigation.

In addition, the firm has pursued litigation on behalf of defrauded consumers regarding various corporate malfeasance, for violations of the federal antitrust laws and various consumer protection laws; on behalf of pension plan participants for violations of ERISA; on behalf of mass tort victims to remedy human rights violations, as well as on behalf of Holocaust victims, those afflicted with Gulf War Syndrome, and those harmed by the Bhopal Gas Disaster.

CURTIS V. TRINKO

For the past twenty-eight years, Mr. Trinko, the principal of the firm, has personally been actively involved in class action and derivative securities, as well as consumer, mass-tort, environmental, and antitrust

litigation in many Federal District Courts and State Courts throughout the United States. In fact, Mr. Trinko has had significant involvement in approximately 350 such complex litigations. Moreover, he has also been extensively engaged in general commercial litigation in both Federal and State Courts for the past thirty-three years.

Curtis V. Trinko graduated from New York University School of Law in 1974, where he was both a Root-Tilden Scholar and a Research Fellow at the Center for International Studies. He received his B.A. Degree with Honors from the University of Wisconsin-Madison in 1971. From 1974-1975, he clerked with the **Hon. Thomas R. McMillen**, a United States District Judge, then sitting in the Northern District of Illinois. In 1975, he was admitted to the Bar of the State of New York, to the Bars of the U.S. District Courts for the Southern and Eastern Districts of New York, and to the Bar of the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit. Since then, Mr. Trinko has also been admitted to the Bars of the U.S. Courts of Appeals for the Third, Ninth, Tenth, and Eleventh Circuits, the Bars of the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California, Colorado and the Western District of New York, as well as the Bar of the United States Supreme Court. Prior to establishing his own law practice, he was a member of **Rouhana & Trinko**, A Professional Corporation. Prior thereto, Mr. Trinko was affiliated with the law firm now known as **Abbey Spanier, Rodd & Abrams, LLP**, and he had been a litigation associate at **Milbank, Tweed, Hadley & McCloy** and **Golenbock and Barell** in New York City.

LORI E. COLANGELO

Lori E. Colangelo, associated with the firm, graduated from New York Law School in 1991. Ms. Colangelo received her B.A. degree from Georgetown University in 1980. In April of 1992, she was admitted to the Bar of the State of New York. In October, 1995, she was admitted to the Bar of the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of New York. Since her initial affiliation with the **Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP** in October of 1984, Ms. Colangelo has been involved in extensive research and analysis for the prosecution

and defense of shareholder and investor-related claims, consumer claims, antitrust violations, and corporate commercial claims, as well as having had significant involvement in pretrial motion practice, document discovery, the preparation of complaints, as well as participating in other phases of the discovery process in numerous shareholder and investor-related litigations, as well as other complex litigations.

WAI KINNY CHAN

Wai Kinny Chan, associated with the firm, graduated from Brooklyn Law School in 2005. Mr. Chan received his B.A. degree from the University of Florida in 2001. In 2006, he was admitted to the Bar of the State of New York, as well as the Bar of the U.S. District Courts for the Southern District of New York. While in law school, Mr. Chan interned for the New York Attorney General where he investigated and prosecuted consumer fraud. Mr Chan also clerked for Justice John M. Leventhal for the New York Supreme Court, Kings County. Since his initial affiliation with the **Law Offices of Curtis V. Trinko, LLP**, Mr. Chan has been extensively involved in complex litigation on securities fraud class actions on behalf of shareholders and investors, consumer claims, ERISA violations, antitrust violations, and corporate commercial claims.

PAST AND PRESENT LITIGATION

This firm has actively litigated, and has been approved as class or derivative counsel in the prosecution of various securities class action suits or derivative actions, such as: Solash v. Lionel Corp, 89 Civ. 7760 (S.D.N.Y.) (recovery of \$1.4 million); In Re Amdahl Securities Litigation, Master File No. C-92-20609-JW (EAI) (N.D. Cal.) (recovery of \$13 million); Landes v. Goodfriend, 3-93-CV-698 (E.D.TN) (recovery of \$3.2 million); In Re RasterOps Corporation Securities Litigation, C.A. No. C-92-20349-RM W (EAI) (N.D. Cal. 1992) (recovery of \$6.5 million); MacDavid v. Figgie, 93 CV-001798, 94-L-08) (Ct. of Common Pleas Lake County of Ohio) (Mitrovich, J.) (recovery of \$3.3 million on behalf of Company, the release of Employment Agreement rights as to base pay, bonuses, incentive pay, consulting fees, restricted stock and deferred compensation by various individual defendants, the creation of Committee to review Board's performance and structure to ensure

a majority of independent directors, and the reduction of the Board's operating expenses by a minimum of \$200,000); In Re Nord Resources Corporation Securities Litigation, Case No. C-3-90-380 (S.D. Ohio) (recovery of \$4.75 million); Telerate, Inc. Shareholder Litigation, Civ. 1115 (Del. Ch. 1989) (benefit of \$95 million); Korf v. The Cooper Companies, Inc., Civ. Action No. 89 Civ. 5892 (MGC) (S.D.N.Y.) (recovery of \$1.7 million); In Re National Health Laboratories, Securities Litigation, Master File No. Civ. No. 92-1949-H (CM); (SD. CA) (recovery of \$64 million); In Re T² Medical, Inc. Shareholder Litigation, Master File No. 1:94-CV-744-RLV (N.D. Ga.) (recovery of \$7 million); Fort Howard Shareholder Litigation, No. 999 (Del. Ch.) (recovery of \$13.4 million); LILCO Shareholder Litigation, No. 84-0588 (E.D.N.Y.), (recovery of \$50 million); Horstmann v. Bailey, Nos. 84-4903, 84-5001 (S.D.N.Y.) and Manning v. Cornelius, No. 88-7700 (E.D.Ky.), in which a class of limited partnership investors received approximately \$800,000 in settlement of an action under Section 10(b) and Rule 10b-5; Lionel Securities Litigation, No. 82 Civ. 1049 (JES) (S.D.N.Y.), (recovery of \$2.8 million); Petro-Lewis Securities Litigation, Civ. Action No. 84-C-326 (D. Col.), (recovery of \$137 million); Revco Shareholder Litigation, No. 106749 (Ohio Ct. C.P.) (plaintiffs' counsel credited with assisting in obtaining a price of \$38.50 in cash per share for the common stock of Revco, as compared to the initial proposal of \$36.00 per share); Owens Illinois Inc. Shareholder Litigation, No. 86-3955 (Ohio Ct. C.P.) (takeover offer of \$55 per share was increased to \$60 per share, and further increased to \$60.50 per share); Pandick Securities Litigation, No. 8736 (Del. Ch.) (shareholders were to receive \$25.50 per share in cash for common stock, but as a direct result of the litigation instituted, received a special dividend of 11.25 cents per share, for an aggregate benefit to the shareholders of \$1,105,128); In re E.F. Hutton Banking Practices Litigation, M.D.L. 649 (S.D.N.Y.) (recovery of \$2.5 million); Jackson v. Henley Manufacturing Corp, Consolidated Civil Action No. 10445 (Del. Ch.), (initial proposed tender offer price per share of common stock of \$80 per share was increased to \$90 per share, resulting in a benefit to the shareholders of approximately \$26 million); Grossman v. Pillsbury Company, Consolidated Civil Action No. 1023 (Del Ch.), (poison pill struck down, allowing the Company to be acquired

by Grand Metropolitan and a substantial premium over market value paid to Pillsbury's shareholders); Weintraub v. ITT, Master File No. 84-432 (D.Del.) (recovery of \$7.5 million); and Walt Disney Corp. Shareholder Derivative Action, (recovery of approximately \$45 million).

The firm has also acted as class or derivative counsel in obtaining substantial benefits for the public shareholders and/or companies in the following securities class actions:

In Re IDB Communications Group, Securities Litigation,
CV-94-3618 (Ct.D.Ca) (recovery of \$75 million);

In Re First Executive Corporation Securities Litigation,
CV-89-7135 DT (KX) (CC.D. Cal. 1994) (\$90 million recovery);

In Re U.S. Bioscience Securities Litigation,
Civil Action No. 92-0678, (E.D. Pa.) (\$15.25 million settlement);

In Re Bristol-Myers Squibb Company Securities Litigation,
Consolidated Civil Action No. 92 CIV 4007 (JES) (S.D.N.Y.) (\$19 million recovery);

In Re Diagnostek, Inc. Securities Litigation,
Master File No. CIV-92-1274 JB/WWD (D. New Max.) (\$16 million recovery);

In Re Foodmaker/Jack-In-The Box,
C93-517 WD (W.D. WA) (\$13 million recovery);

In Re Columbia Gas System, Inc. Securities Litigation, Cons. Civil Action No. 91-357-JLL (Dist. of Del.) (\$36.5 million recovery);

In Re Chambers Development,
CV 92-0679 (W.D. of Pa.) (\$95 million recovery);

In Re Prudential Limited Partnership Litigation,
(MDL Docket No. 1005) (\$110 million recovery);

In Re Tucson Electric Power Co. Securities Litigation,
Civ. 89-1274 (D. Ariz.) (\$30 million recovery);

In Re N.V. Philips Securities Litigation,
No. 90 Civ. 3044 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$9.25 million recovery);

In Re Consolidated Columbia Savings and Loan Actions,
Master File No. 89-6538 SVW (Ex) (C.D. Cal.) (\$79.5 million recovery);

Katz v. LIN Broadcasting Corp.,
90 Civ 7787 (KTD) (U.S.D.C., S.D.N.Y.) (\$9 million recovery);

In Re RJR Nabisco, Inc. Shareholder Litigation,
Civ. Action No. 10389 (Del. Ch.) (benefit of approximately \$55 million);

In Re Scott Paper Securities Litigation,
No. 90-6192 ((E.D. Pa.) (\$8 million recovery);

In Re Dime Savings Bank of New York,
No. 89-2189 (JM) (E.D.N.Y.) (\$6.8 million recovery);

Hillel v. Chase Manhattan Bank,
No. 90-6239 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$17.5 million recovery);

In Re General Development Corporation Securities Litigation,
No. 90-691-Civ.-Marcus (S.D. Fla.) (\$10 million recovery);

Rosengarten v. Irani, et al. and Occidental Petroleum Corp.,
Case No. BC 031286, Sup. Ct. Los Angeles County (creation of Investment Review Committee and Policy to review Company's capital commitment or guarantee which exceeds \$10 million in any activity other than that related to its primary business operations);

In Re Perseptive Biosystem, Inc. Securities Litigation,
C.A. No. 94-12575-PBS (Mass.) (recovery of \$8.25 million in cash, \$5 million in common stock and \$2 million in warrants);

In Re AM International, Inc. Securities Litigation,
M-21-31, MDL Docket No. 494 (S.D.N.Y.) (\$23 million recovery);

Consumer Power Co. Derivative Litigation,
Master File No. 84-Civ-3788 (E.D. Mich.) (\$33 million recovery);

Bansbach v. Zinn, et al.,
No. 97-2573 (Supreme Court, Ulster County)(\$1.025 million recovery);

In Re Insurance Management Solutions Group, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 10/07/2000 (M.D. Florida)(\$1.5 million recovery).

The firm served as class or derivative counsel in the following resolved securities and complex litigations:

In Re American Medical Holdings, Inc. Shareholder Litigation,
Cons. Civ. Action No. 13797 (Del. Ch.);

Stevens v. O'Brien Environmental Energy, Inc. et al.,
94-CV-4577 (ED Pa);

In Re John Alden Financial Corp. Securities Litigation,
95-830-Civ. Nesbitt (S.D. Fla.);

Steiner v. Montedison S.p.A. et al.,
93 Civ. 3673 (ADS) (E.D.N.Y.);

Ellis et al. v. Leung et al.,
94-CV-1763 (JG) (E.D.N.Y.);

Corigliano et al v. Arakis Energy Corp. et al.,
1:95CV01661 (D. Columbia);

In Re Southwestern Life Corporation Securities Litigation,
Master File No. 3-95 CV 05166 (N.D. of Texas, Dallas Div.);

In Re International Business Machines Corporation Securities Litigation,
Master File No. 92-9076 (GLG)(S.D.N.Y.);

In Re Checkers Drive In Securities Litigation,
93-1749-CIV-T-17A, (Middle Dist. Fla.);

In Re Intelligent Electronics, Inc. Securities Litigation;
Master File No. 92-CV-1905 (ED Pa);

In Re Coastcast Corporation Securities Litigation,
Master File No. CV-94-5712-RG (AJWX) (CD of Cal.);

In Re Media Vision Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation,
C-94-1015-EFL, (ND Cal.);

In Re Kidder Peabody Litigation,
94 CIV. 3954 (S.D.N.Y.);

Myer Okun Defined Benefit Pension Plan and David Semel v. Jennifer Convertibles,
CV-95 0080 (E.D.N.Y.);

In Re JWP, Inc. Securities Litigation,
92 Civ. 5815 (S.D.N.Y.);

In Re Medaphis Corporation Securities Litigation,
95 Civ. 1535 N.D. Ga. Atlanta Div.,

In Re Valence Technologies Securities Litigation,
C-95-20459-JW (N.D. of Ca.);

Silverstein, et al. v. Turner Broadcasting Systems et al.,
No. E-41500 (Supr. Ct. of Ful.Co., Ga);

In Re Woolworth Securities Class Action Litigation,
Master File No. 94 Civ. 2217 (S.D.N.Y.);

Calhoun, et al. v. Work Recovery, Inc. et al.,
95-561-TUC-ACM (D. of Az.);

In Re Roberds Securities Litigation,
Case No. C-3-94-86 (SD Ohio);

Joseph v. Computer Concepts Corp.,
95CV3304 (E.D.N.Y.);

In Re American Pacific Securities Litigation,
CV-S-93-00576-PMP- (LRL) (Nevada);

In Re Leslie Fay Securities Litigation,
No. 92-CIV-8036 (WCC) (S.D.N.Y.);

In Re Tiphook Securities Litigation,
Master File No. 93-CV-4449 (New Jersey);

In Re Liuski International Inc. Securities Litigation,
94 Civ 1045 (E.D.N.Y.);

In Re ACLN, Ltd. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 12/27/2001 (S.D. New York) (Hon. Richard Conway Casey);

In Re Advanced Lighting Technologies Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 04/09/1999 (N.D. Ohio) (Hon. Donald C. Nugent);

In Re AnnTaylor Stores Corporation Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 04/24/1996 (S.D. New York) (Hon. Allen G. Schwartz);

In Re Andrx Corporation Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 03/22/2002 (S.D. Florida);

In Re Ascend Communications, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 12/02/1997 (C.D. California) (Hon. Mariana R. Pfaelzer);

In Re Aspen Technology, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 10/05/1998 (D. Massachusetts) (Hon. Patti B. Saris);

In Re AT&T Corporation Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 10/27/2000 (D. New Jersey);

In Re AT&T Wireless Group Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 12/06/2000 (S.D. New York) (Hon. Sidney H. Stein);

In Re BankAmerica Corporation Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 10/15/1998 (E.D. New York) (Hon. Nina Gershon);

In Blech Securities Litigation
H-94-3973 (S.D. New York)

In Re BMJ Medical Management Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 02/02/1999 (S.D. Florida) (Hon. William J. Zloch)

In Re The Boeing Company Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 10/31/1997 (W.D. Washington) (Hon. Thomas S. Zilly);

In Re Boston Chicken Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 06/23/1997 (D. Colorado) (Hon. Patricia A. Coan);

In Re Bre-X Minerals Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 04/28/1997 (W.D. Texas) (Hon. Edward C. Prado);

In Re Bristol-Myers Squibb Co. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 04/28/2000 (D. New Jersey) (Hon. Garrett E. Brown, Jr.);

In Re Bristol-Myers Squibb Company Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 03/21/2002 (D. New Jersey) (Hon. Loretta A. Preska);

In Re Candie's Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 05/17/1999 (S.D. New York) (Hon. Colleen McMahon);

In Re Cendant Corporation Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 04/24/1998 (E.D. Pennsylvania);

In Re CHS Electronics, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 04/29/1999 (S.D. Florida) (Hon. Alan S. Gold);

In Re Cisco Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 04/20/2001 (N.D. California) (BZ);

In Re Compaq Computer Corp. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 03/12/1999 (S.D. Texas);

In Re Comparator Systems Corp. Securities Litigation
No. 763689 (Superior Court of California) (Hon. John C. Woolley)

In Re ComTech Communications Securities Litigation
CV-1208 (ADS) (S.D. New York);

In Re Crown America REIT Securities Litigation
Civ. No. 95-202-J (E.D. Pennsylvania) (Hon. D. Brooks Smith)

In Re DaimlerChrysler AG Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 11/28/2000 (D. Delaware);

In Re Emulex Corporation Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 02/20/2001 (C.D. California);

In Re Enron Corp. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 10/22/2001 (S.D. Texas) (Hon. Melinda Harro);

In Re ESC Medical Systems Ltd. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 10/23/1998 (S.D. New York) (Hon. Michael B. Mukasey);

In Re Exodus Communications, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 07/16/2001 (N.D. California);

In Re FirstPlus Financial Group, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 11/06/1998 (N.D. Texas);

In Re Fore Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 07/29/1997 (W.D. Pennsylvania);

In Re Foxmeyer Health Corporation Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 08/12/1996 (N.D. Texas) (Hon. Sam A Lindsay);

In Re Ford Motor Company Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 01/29/2002 (S.D. New York) (Hon. Milton Pollack);

In Re FPA Medical Management, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 05/15/1998 (C.D. California);

In Re General Instruments Corp. Securities Litigation
01-3051 (E.D. Pennsylvania) (Hon. Harry D. Leinenweber)

In Re Global Crossings, Ltd. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 02/14/2002 (C.D. California);

In Re Globalstar Telecommunications Ltd. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 03/06/2001 (S.D. New York);

In Re Globix Corporation Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 01/04/2002 (S.D. New York);

In Re GTE Corp. Takeover Litigation
Initial Filing 06/01/2000 (S.D. New York) (Hon. Deborah A. Batts);

In Re GT Interactive Software Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 01/07/1998 (S.D. New York) (Hon. Loretta A. Preska);

In Re Happiness Express, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 05/22/1996 (E.D. New York);

In Re Homestead Holding Corp. Securities Litigation
4671-S-1991 (Court of Common Pleas, Dauphin County)

In Re Informix Corporation Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 04/11/1997 (N.D. California) (Hon. Wayne D. Brazil);

In Re Intel Corporation Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 10/09/2001 (N.D. California);

In Re Iomega Corporation Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 02/09/1998 (D. Utah) (Hon. David Sam);

In Re Iridium World Communications, Ltd. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 04/22/1999 (D. District Columbia) (Hon. Thomas P. Jackson);

In Re J.P. Morgan Chase & Co., Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 02/15/2002 (S.D. New York);

In Re Kinder Morgan, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 06/28/2000 (D. Colorado);

In Re Knight Trading Group, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 11/08/2000 (D. New Jersey);

In Re Legato Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 12/02/2000 (N.D. California) (Hon. Jeremy Fogel);

In Re Lernout & Hauspie Speech Products N.V. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 08/11/2000 (E.D. Pennsylvania);

In Re L.F. Rothschild Holding Corp. Debenture Litigation
90-CV-0708 (MJL) (S.D. New York);

In Re Lucent Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 12/28/2000 (D. New Jersey);

In Re McKesson HBOC, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 04/28/1999 (N.D. California) (Hon. James Larson);

In Re Medi-Hut Co., Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 02/28/2002 (D. New Jersey);

In Re Metromedia Fiber Network Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 08/21/2001 (S.D. New York);

In Re National Auto Finance Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 10/29/1998 (S.D. Florida) (Hon. Daniel T. K. Hurley);

In Re Network Plus Corp. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 07/03/2001 (S.D. New York);

In Re New Era of Networks, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 01/04/2001 (D. Colorado);

In Re NorthPoint Communications Group, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 10/31/2001 (S.D. New York) (Hon. Shira A. Scheindlin);

In Re Oracle Corporation Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 03/13/2001 (N.D. California) (MEJ);

In Re Oxford Health Plans, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 11/04/1997 (D. Connecticut) (Hon. Dominic J. Squatrito);

In Re Pacific Gateway Exchange Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 04/07/2000 (N.D. California) (Hon. William H. Alsup);

In Re Paradyne Networks, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 11/30/2001 (S.D. New York) (Hon. Shira A. Scheindlin);

In Re Party City Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 03/22/1999 (D. New Jersey) (Hon. Alfred J. Lechner, Jr.);

In Re PeopleSoft Inc. Securities Litigation

Initial Filing 01/29/1999 (N.D. California) (Hon. Martin J. Jenkins);

In Re PHP Healthcare Corp. Securities Litigation

Initial Filing 03/09/1998 (C.D. California) (Hon. Andrew J. Wistrich);

In Re Phycor Inc. Securities Litigation

Initial Filing 10/06/1998 (M.D. Tennessee) (Hon. Joe B. Brown);

In Re Plains All American Pipeline Securities Litigation

Initial Filing 11/29/1999 (S.D. Texas);

In Re PLC Systems, Inc. Securities Litigation

Initial Filing (D. Massachusetts) (Hon. Richard G. Stearns);

In Re Priceline.com Securities Litigation

Initial Filing 10/07/2000 (D. Connecticut);

In Re Providian Financial Corporation Securities Litigation

Initial Filing 10/25/2001 (N.D. California);

In Re PSINet, Inc. Securities Litigation

Initial Filing 12/28/2000 (E.D. Virginia);

In Re Rambus, Inc. Securities Litigation

Initial Filing 08/14/2001 (N.D. California);

In Re Razorfish, Inc. Securities Litigation

Initial Filing 12/15/2000 (S.D. New York);

In Re Reliance Group Holdings Inc. Securities Litigation

Initial Filing 06/23/2000 (S.D. New York);

In Re Rite Aid Corporation Securities Litigation

Initial Filing 03/15/1999 (E.D. Pennsylvania) (Hon. Stewart Dalzell);

In Re Rockefeller Center Properties, Inc. Securities Litigation

Initial Filing 11/15/1996 (D. Delaware) (Hon. Roderick R. McKelvie);

In Re S3 Incorporated Securities Litigation

Initial Filing 11/5/1997 (N.D. California) (Hon. Vaughn R. Walker);

In Re Safeguard Scientifics, Inc. Securities Litigation

Initial Filing 06/28/2001 (E.D. Pennsylvania);

In Re Schlotzsky's, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 08/26/1998 (W.D. Texas) (Hon. James R. Nowlin);

In Re Scientific-Atlanta, Incorporated Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 07/24/2001 (N.D. Georgia);

In Re Sotheby's Holdings, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 02/11/2000 (S.D. New York);

In Re SpecTran Corporation Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 10/02/1998 (D. Massachusetts);

In Re Sprint Corporation Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 06/25/2001 (D. Kansas);

In Re Sunterra Corporation Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 01/23/2000 (M.D. Florida);

In Re Symbol Technologies, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 03/05/2002 (E.D. New York);

In Re Tee-Com Electronics, Inc. Securities Litigation
3:98-CV-00213 (AVC) (D. Connecticut);

In Re 3Com Corporation Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 12/05/1997 (N.D. California) (Hon. James Ware);

In Re Tel-Save Holdings Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 07/01/1998 (E.D. Pennsylvania);

In Re Triton Energy Limited Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 07/22/1998 (E.D. Texas);

In Re Tyco International Ltd. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 02/05/2002 (S.D. New York) (Hon. George B. Daniels);

In Re UniCapital Corporation Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 06/08/2000 (S.D. Florida);

In Re Unify Corporation Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 07/31/2000 (N.D. California);

In Re USEC, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 11/07/2000 (W.D. Kentucky);

In Re Vencor, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 12/24/1997 (W.D. Kentucky);

In Re VISX, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 02/25/2000 (N.D. California) (Hon. Maxine M. Chesney);

In Re Warnaco Group, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 08/23/2000 (S.D. New York);

In Re Waste Management Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 11/03/1997 (N.D. Illinois) (Hon. Wayne R. Anderson);

In Re Windmere-Durable Holdings Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 09/25/1998 (E.D. New York);

In Re WorldCom Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 11/08/2000 (E.D. Pennsylvania);

In Re Worldwide Xceed Group, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 03/01/2001 (S.D. New York);

In Re Xerox Corporation Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 12/23/1999 (D. Connecticut); and

In Re XO Communications, Inc. Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 12/05/2001 (E.D. Virginia).

Currently, the firm serves as lead or assisting counsel in the following complex securities litigations:

In Re IPO Allocation Securities Litigation
21 MC 92 (SAS) (S.D. New York) (Hon. Shira A. Scheindlin)

In Re NVIDIA Corporation Securities Litigation
Initial Filing 02/19/2002 (N.D. California)

Fogarazzo, et al. v. Lehman Brothers, Inc., et al.
03-CV-5194 (S.D. New York)

In Re Emerson Radio Corp. Securities Litigation
03-CV-4201 (D. New Jersey)

Lentz v. Citadel Security Software, Inc. et al.
05-CV-0100-D (N.D. Texas)

Grasso v. Vitesse Semiconductor Corporation, et al.

CV 06-2639-R (C.D. California)

Fritsche v. Top Tankers Inc., et al.
07-CV-00573 (S.D. New York)

Gonsalves v. Garrett Thornburg, et al.
07-CV-7897 (S.D. New York)

In Re American Home Mortgage Investment Corp.
07-1898 (E.D. New York)

Belodoff v. Netlist, Inc., et al.
SACV 07-00677-DOC (C.D. California)

In Re Centerline Holding Company Securities Litigation
08-CV-00505 (S.D. New York)

Ciplet v. JPMorgan Chase Bank & Co., et al.
08-CV-4580 (S.D. New York)

Greenberg v. Falcone, et al.
08-CV-2005 (S.D. New York)

Garber v. UBS AG, et al.
08-CV-00969 (S.D. New York)

Operative Plasterers and Cement Masons International Association Local 262 Annuity Fund
08-CV-5523 (S.D. New York)

The firm presently serves or recently has served as derivative counsel in the following corporate governance actions:

In Re BP Amoco Derivative Litigation
01-CH-011404 (Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois);

In Re Consecro, Inc. Derivative Litigation
29D03-0006-CP-0393 (Superior Court, Hamilton County) (Hon. Steven R. Wation);

In Re Daimler-Chrysler AG Proxy Shareholder Litigation
Initial Filing 11/28/2000 (D. Delaware);

In Re FPL Group, Inc. Derivative Litigation
02-20170-CIV-Gold (S.D. Florida) (Hon. Simonton);

In Re Imclone Systems, Inc. Derivative Litigation

02-601304 (Supreme Court, New York County);

In Re MeVC Draper Fisher Fund Derivative Litigation
02-CV-142 (D. Delaware); and

In Re Walt Disney Co. Derivative Litigation
No. BC164078 (Superior Court, California).

Braun v. GT Solar International, Inc., et al.
08-CV-312 (D. New Hampshire)

Westchester Putnam Counties Heavy and Highway Laborers Benefit Funds v. Sullivan, et al.
08-CV-5240 (S.D. New York)

In re Yahoo! Inc. Shareholder Litigation
08-CV-104693 (Superior Court of California)

The firm presently serves or recently has served as class counsel in the following ERISA actions:

In re Allegheny Energy, Inc. Securities Litigation
MDL No. 1518 (D. Maryland)

Martin Falk, et al. v. Amerada Hess Corporation, et al.
03-CV-2491 (D. New Jersey)

In Re Polaroid ERISA Litigation
03-CV-8335 (S.D. New York)

In Re Citigroup Pension Plan ERISA Litigation
05-CV-5296 (S.D. New York)

In re Tower Automotive ERISA Litigation
05-CV-2184 (S.D. New York)

Kritzman, et al. v. American Express Retirement Plan, et al.
06-CV-00233 (S.D. New York)

In re JP Morgan Chase Cash Balance ERISA Litigation
06-cv-732 (S.D. New York)

Patino, et al. v. Foot Locker Retirement Plan, et al.
06-CV-4879 (S.D. New York)

Cress, et al. v. Wilson, et al.
06-CV-2717 (S.D. New York)

Estey v. Merrill Lynch & Co., et al.
07-CV-102688 (S.D. New York)

Moore v. Merrill Lynch & Co., et al.
07-CV-10398 (S.D. New York)

In Re Nutrisystem, Inc. Securities Litigation
07-CV-4215 (E.D. Pennsylvania)

In Re Force Protection, Inc. Securities Litigation
08-CV-845 (S.D. South Carolina)

Cordero v. SLM Corporation, et al.
08-CV-7285 (S.D. New York)

The firm presently serves or recently has served as class counsel in the following Merger & Acquisitions / corporate governance actions:

In Re Chock Full O'Nuts Corp. Shareholder Litigation
No. 99-9108759 (Supreme Court, New York County);

In Re Dow Chemical / Union Carbide Merger Litigation
00 CIV. 3364 (DC) (S.D. New York) (Hon. Denny Chin);

In Re GTE Corp. Takeover Litigation
Initial Filing 06/01/2000 (S.D. New York) (Hon. Deborah A. Batts);

In Re Infinity Broadcasting Corp. Shareholder Litigation
No. 18219 NC (Delaware Chancery Court);

In Re JW Genesis Financial Corp. Takeover Litigation
CL-00-9682-AO (Circuit Court, 15th Judicial Circuit, Florida);

In Re NTN Communications, Inc. Shareholder Litigation
No. 785008 (Superior Court, California);

In Re Paxson Communications Corp. Shareholder Litigation
No. 17568-NC (Delaware Chancery Court);

In Re Plains All American Pipelines Shareholder Litigation
Initial Filing 11/29/1999 (S.D. Texas);

In Re Rave Medium Group, Inc. Shareholder Litigation
No. 18940 (Delaware Chancery Court);

In Re U.S. West Shareholder Litigation
No. 17230 (Delaware Chancery Court);

In Re Walt Disney Co. Shareholder Litigation
No. BC164078 (Superior Court, California); and

In Re Warner Lambert Co. Shareholder Litigation
No. 1750-NC (Delaware Chancery Court).

The firm presently serves or recently has served as class counsel in the following consumer and product liability class actions:

In Re AOL Version 5.0 Software Product Liability Litigation
00-1341-MD-Gold (D. Florida);

In Re Fen-Phen / Redux Consumer Litigation
Civ. No. 1203 (E.D. Pennsylvania);

In Re Omnibus HMO Consumer Litigation
3:00CV2037 (SRV) (D. Connecticut);

In Re Providian Financial Corp. Credit Card Litigation
Judicial Council Coordination Proceeding No. 4085 (Superior Court, California); and

In Re Taco Bell Genetic Corn Consumer Litigation
00-CH-14114 (Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois);

Mazzei v. The Money Store, et al.
01-CV-5694

Vincent, et al. v. The Money Store, et al.
03-CV-2876

In Re Teflon Products Liability Litigation
MDL No. 1733 & 4:06-md-01733-REL-CFB (S.D. Iowa)

Newmark, et al. v. Dow Jones & Company Inc.
06-CV-2992 (S.D. New York).

The firm presently serves or recently has served as class counsel in complex litigation relating to RICO or antitrust violations in the following cases:

In Re Colin Services Systems RICO Litigation
00-7571 (U.S. Court of Appeals, Second Circuit);

In Re High Fructose Corn Syrup Antitrust Litigation
MDL 1087 & Master file 95-1477 (D. Illinois) (Hon. Kauffman);

In Re IPO Fee Antitrust Litigation
01-9072 (S.D. New York) (Hon. Lawrence M. McKenny);

In Re Options Trading Antitrust Litigation
MDL 1283 & 99-CV-962 (S.D. New York) (Hon. Casey); and

In Re American Express Merchants' Litigation,
Master file No. 03-CV-9592 (S.D. New York) (Hon. Daniels).

Atlantic Autocare, Inc., et al. v. Shell Oil Products Company, LLC, et al.
06-CV-4242 (S.D. New York)

The firm presently serves or recently has served as class counsel in complex litigation relating to mass torts, toxic torts, environment contamination, human rights, and/or Holocaust victims claims in the following cases:

In Re MTBE Gas Additive Litigation
14065 / 001 (S.D. New York);

In Re Dow Chemical Company (Dursban) Litigation
Initial Filing 05/21/01 (S.D. New York);

In Re Bhopal Gas Disaster Litigation
99 Civ. 11329 (S.D. New York);

In Re French Bank Holocaust Litigation
97-CV-7433 & 98-CV-7851 (E.D. New York) (Hon. Sterling Johnson); and

In Re Gulf War Syndrome Litigation
94-C-1392 (District Court, Brazoria County) (Hon. Hardin).

Sahu, et al. v. Union Carbide Corp. et al.
07-CV-2156 (S.D. New York)